

Module 3A

What is educational assessment and the most common assessment tool: Written Test

Mr. Howard Sou hhpsou@gmail.com

Afternoon session August 2015 Federation for Self-financing Tertiary Education

Brief Recap of Morning Session

- Assessment is the collection of data for [educational] decision making.
- The meaning of assessment, test and measurement

Two major categories of item types: Objective Test Items and Performance Tasks

Focus of the PM Session

- Alignment of Assessment with learning outcomes
- > Planning of assessment: Programme level
- > Planning of achievement test
- Quality assurance of assessment: item level and test level

The process of preparing, administering and using assessment

- ▶ 8. Interpreting and using the results
- ▶ 7. Appraising the assessment
- ▶ 6. Administering the assessment
- ▶ 5. Assembling the assessment
- ▶ 4. Preparing relevant assessment tasks
- ▶ 3. Selecting appropriate assessment tools
- ▶ 2. Developing specifications
- ▶ 1. Determining the purpose of assessment

Determining the purpose of assessment

What is the intended purpose of assessment?

- >Accountability?
- > Programme improvement?
- ➤ Instruction improvement?
- > Certification?

Developing specifications

Developing a <u>Table of Specifications</u> (or blue print of assessment) to identify the achievement domains being measured and to ensure that a fair and representative sampling of attributes and/or questions.

It also helps to ensure the *Content Validity* of the assessment

Table of specification (Programme)

Mode of assessment Module/Content	End of Module Test	End of Programme Examination	Project	Programme paper/thesis	Tutor evaluation: exercises and participations etc	Total
Module/Topic A						
Module/Topic B						
Module/Topic C						
Module/Topic D						
Total						

Table of specification (Achievement test)

	Cognitive levels	Facts and information	Concepts	Analysis	Synthesis	Applications	Total
Content							
Topic A							
Topic B							
Topic C							
Topic D							
Total							

Matching Assessment objectives with tools

Objectives	Tools
Recall, Recognize, Identify	Objective test items such as fill-in- the-blank, matching, labeling, or multiple-choice questions
Interpret ,Classify, Summarize , Explain	Papers, exams, problem sets, class discussions, or concept maps
Analyze, Differentiate, Organize	Case studies, critiques, labs, papers, projects, debates, or concept maps

Norm, Criterion and Standards referenced tests

- Norm-referenced tests (NRTs) are made to compare test takers to each other.
- Criterion-referenced tests (CRTs) are intended to measure how well a person has learned a specific body of knowledge and skills
- A recent variation of criterion-referenced testing is "Standards-referenced testing (SRTs)" which describes what students should know and be able to do in different subjects at various grade levels.

What are the information conveyed by:

- Norm referencing test scores?
- Criterion referencing test scores?
- >Standards referencing test scores?

Z Score

Z Score=
$$\frac{X - \mu}{\sigma}$$

Z Score = Raw score - Mean Standard deviation

Standard Deviations

$$\sigma = \sqrt{\frac{\sum \left[\times \overline{\times} \right]^2}{n}}$$

- $\sigma = -$ standard deviation
- $\sum = sum of$
- $\mathbf{x} =$ each value in the data set
- $\overline{\mathbf{x}}$ = mean of all values in the data set
- n = number of value in the data set

Questions for Reflection

- What are the information and messages carried by a certificate? a result slip?
- If I am going to admit students into a programme of studies, how can I make use of public examination results as a tool for selection?
- If I am going to recruit a staff, how can I make use of public examination results as a tool for selection?

Quality of a test

Reliability - refers to the consistency of measurement;

Validity - refers to whether the assessment measures what intended to measure.

Both reliability and validity refer to the results obtained with an assessment instrument and **NOT** to the instrument itself.

Reliability

Reliability is primarily statistical

Methods of estimating reliability

- Test-retest method (measure of stability)
- Split-half method (measure of internal consistency)
- Kuder-Richardson method and coefficient Alpha (measure of internal consistency)
- Inter-rater method (measure of consistency of rating)

Validity

- Content validity How well the sample of assessment tasks represents the domain of tasks to be measured
- Construct validity How well performance on the assessment can be interpreted as a meaningful measure of some characteristic or quality
- ▶ Test-Criterion Relationship How well performance on the assessment predicts future performances or estimates current performance on some valued measures other than the test itself.

Quality of an Item

Difficulty index: the percentage of participants who get the item right

Discriminating index: the degree to which it discriminate between students with high and low achievement.

Difficulty index

```
P = (R/T) \times 100\%
       Where P = Difficulty index
                 R = number of participants who
                      get the item right
                 T = total number of participants.

Arr P = 100% implies the item is too easy (everyone get it right)

Arr P = 0% means the item is too difficult (no one get it right)
  Usually, P = 0.4 - 0.8 is acceptable
```

Discriminating index

- \triangleright D= (RU/T(U)) (RL/T(L))
- ▶ D = Discriminating index
- ▶ RU = number of participants in the upper group who get the item right
- ▶ RL = number of participants in the lower group who get the item right
- T(U), T(L) = total number of participants in the upper and lower groups

Discriminating index

Upper group refers to participants whose scores of the whole paper are at the top 25%

Lower group refers to participants whose scores of the whole paper are at the bottom 25%

Discriminating index

- D = 1 implies the item has high positive discrimination power (i.e. that all upper group participants get it right while all lower group participants get it wrong)
- D = 0 implies the item has no discrimination power (i.e. both the upper and lower group participants either all get it right or all get it wrong)
- D = -1 implies the item has high negative discrimination power (i.e. the all upper group participants get it wrong while all lower group participants get it right)
- Usually, D = 0.2 0.3 is acceptable

- Questions
- Comments
- Sharing of experiences

are welcomed!!!

Thank You!

